# **FISCAL NOTE**

Bill #: HB0399 Title: Revise regulation of telemedicine

**Primary** 

Sponsor: Mary Anne Guggenheim Status: As introduced

Sponsor signature			Date	Dave Lewis, Budget Director			Date
Fisc	al Sum	nmary					
			FY2000			FY2001	
Evno	nditura	ng•	<u>Differen</u>	<u>ce</u>		<u>Difference</u>	
Expenditures: State Special Revenue				0 \$7,854			
Reve	nue:						
State Special Revenue				0 \$7,85		\$7,854	
<b>Net Impact on General Fund Balance:</b>			0			0	
Yes	<u>No</u>			Yes	No		
	X	Significant Local Gov. Impa	act		X	Technical Concerns	
	X	Included in the Executive B	udget		X	Significant Long- Term Impacts	

## **Fiscal Analysis**

#### **ASSUMPTIONS:**

Department of Commerce / Professional & Occupational licensing Division

- 1. It is estimated that 200 physicians will apply for a telemedicine certificate in Montana after the effective date of October 1, 2000.
- 2. The processing of applications for the issuance of telemedicine certificates will require a 0.25 FTE grade 9, licensing technician.
- 3. Operating costs for FY 2001 include equipment (\$2,500) and indirect (\$501). Based on the effective date of this bill, no revenues will be collected nor costs expended in FY 2000.
- 4. A certificate fee of \$40.00 will be assessed to each out-of-state telemedicine applicant.

## Fiscal Note Request, <u>HB0399</u>, as introduced

Page 2

(continued)

- 5. Rulemaking expenses in FY 2000 for adopting administrative rules prior to the effective date will be absorbed by the board budget contained in HB2.
- 6. A biennial renewal fee of \$55.00 will be assessed to each telemedicine certificate holder.
- 7. Revenues collected from the biennial renewal fee will offset expenditures for this new licensing process in future years.

#### **Department of Administration:**

- 8. The impact of this bill appears to be minimal from a tort claims perspective. There would be an additional exposure because the state would be engaging in an activity they had not previously participated in. The main areas for potential suits appear to be issuing a certificate to an individual that should not have a certificate and being sued for denial of a certificate to an individual to whom allegedly should have issued a certificate. These potential suits do not pose a great fiscal impact as long as the criteria for issuance of the certificates is clear and followed by the board.
- 9. There is no fiscal impact to the Department of Justice or Judiciary.

## FISCAL IMPACT:

FTE	FY2000 <u>Difference</u> 0	FY2001 <u>Difference</u> 0.25
Expenditures:		
Personal Services Operating Expenses TOTAL	0	\$4,853 <u>\$3,001</u> \$7,854
Funding: State Special Revenue (02)		\$7,854
Revenues: State Special Revenue (02)		\$8,000
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus State Special Revenue (02)	\$146	